

**Director
Corporate Tax Policy Unit
Corporate and International Tax Division
Treasury
Langton Cres
Parkes ACT 2600**

By email: OMSBBpublicconsultation@treasury.gov.au

Dear Director,

Thank you for the opportunity to submit a response to the consultation of the Treasury Laws Amendment (Off-Market Share Buy-Backs) Bill 2022.

I object to the proposed changes and believe the draft legislation is unfair to Australian companies and all shareholders.

Treasury Laws Amendment (Off-Market Share Buy-Backs) Bill 2022, if applied, will weaken the franking system.

Off-market share buy-backs and selective reductions of capital are important and established capital management tools for Australian companies and their boards. Any changes to these proven practices will negatively impact Australian companies' capital management choices and have unintended consequences.

Under the proposed amendments to off-market share buy-backs, companies would no longer be able to pay fully franked dividends to participating shareholders as part of the buy-back consideration paid. In addition, the government is also proposing to eliminate franking credits permanently to the extent it would have been paid out in a fully franked dividend to shareholders, should a company wish to conduct an off-market share buy-back in the future. So, not only is the government limiting a company's ability to distribute franking credits to shareholders, it is now proposing to permanently take those franking credits away from companies, in turn denying them the ability to distribute legitimate tax payments made on behalf of their shareholders. The above changes were added to the legislation and were not announced in the Federal Budget on 25 October 2022. It is a significant negative addition which looks to further disenfranchise Australian companies and investors.

I implore the government not to look at this proposal in isolation, but rather to view it in conjunction with the submission on Franked Distributions and Capital Raising (which closed for submission to your office on 5 October 2022). Together, these proposed changes undermine a system that has supported Australian companies and investors through more than three decades of economic stability and growth. During that time, the world has experienced a number of major macroeconomic events such as the global financial crisis and the current system has protected Australian companies, and in turn their shareholders, through these times of economic instability, reducing companies need to take on unnecessary debt. It has encouraged Australian companies to invest in and pay corporate tax in Australia and emboldened Australians to invest locally. This, in

turn, has created more jobs for Australians and provided the additional income tax revenue that Treasury and Government are currently seeking.

I believe that both the proposed changes fail to recognise the fundamental principle underlying the franking system and the reason for its creation, being the avoidance of double taxation on company earnings. If passed, the proposed changes will unfairly target retail investors, low-income investors and superannuation beneficiaries, while limiting companies' abilities to effectively manage their own capital.

I believe Treasury and Government are underestimating the long lasting and broad-reaching impact these changes will have on Australia and we ask you to re-consider making any changes.

The franking credit system is inextricably tied to the progressive taxation system and any changes to the franking system affects lower income earners the most. The more you make, the less benefit you receive through franking credits, which is both fair and equitable.

It is hard to understand why the Government should seek to tax someone earning \$18,000 p.a at the same effective tax rate as someone earning \$180,000 (30%) and then give tax cuts and free child care to someone making \$500,000 p.a or more. Effectively, a low income earner relying on dividend income would not even benefit from the tax free threshold under the persistent attempts to change the franking credit system. It simply does not make sense. Most people see this as not closing a loophole, but opening up a whole new loophole designed to attack social mobility and peoples attempts to moderate the harsh effects of casualised insecure work. There is a very sad irony here that such a proposed policy should be championed by the Australian Labor Party, when it is the very antithesis of ALP values.

The new ALP ethos seems to be robbing from the poor and giving to the rich. It is poorly thought out and contradictory to Prime Minister Albanese's assurances on scrapping changes to franking credits prior to the election.

I ask you to please reconsider your position.

Please contact me on if you have any questions on the this submission.

Yours sincerely,

Dave Dilger